Dynamic oligopoly theory

<u>Collusion</u> – price coordination

Illegal in most countries

- Explicit collusion not feasible
- Legal exemptions

Recent EU cases

- Gas approx. 1.1 billion Euros in fines (2009)
- Car glass approx. 1.4 billion Euros (2008)
- Elevators approx. 800 million Euros (2007)

Tacit collusion

Hard to detect – not many cases.

Repeated interaction

Theory of repeated games

Deviation from an agreement to set high prices has

- a short-term gain: increased profit today
- a long-term loss: deviation by the others later on

Tacit collusion occurs when

long-term loss > short-term gain

Model

Two firms, homogeneous good, C(q) = cq

Prices in period *t*: (p_{1t}, p_{2t})

Profits in period *t*: $\pi^1(p_{1t}, p_{2t}), \pi^2(p_{1t}, p_{2t})$

<u>History</u> at time *t*: $H_t = (p_{10}, p_{20}, ..., p_{1, t-1}, p_{2, t-1})$

A firm's <u>strategy</u> is a rule that assigns a price to every possible history.

A <u>subgame-perfect equilibrium</u> is a pair of strategies that are in equilibrium after every possible history: Given one firm's strategy, for each possible history, the other firm's strategy maximizes the net present value of profits from then on.

T – number of periods

T finite: a unique equilibrium period *T*: $p_{1T} = p_{2T} = c$, irrespective of H_T . period T - 1: the same and so on *T* infinite (or indefinite)

At period τ , firm *i* maximizes

$$\sum_{t=\tau}^{\infty} \delta^{t-\tau} \pi^{i}(p_{1t}, p_{2t}), \qquad \qquad \delta = \frac{1}{1+r}$$

The best response to (c, ...) is (c, ...).

But do we have other equilibria? Can p > c be sustained in equilibrium?

<u>Trigger strategies</u>: If a firm deviates in period t, then both firms set p = c from period t + 1 until infinity.

[Optimal punishment schemes? Renegotiation-proofness?]

Monopoly price: $p^m = \arg \max (p - c)D(p)$ Monopoly profit: $\pi^m = (p^m - c)D(p^m)$

A trigger strategy for firm 1:

- Set $p_{10} = p^m$ in period 0
- In the periods thereafter,
 - $p_{1t}(H_t) = p^m$, if $H_t = (p^m, p^m, ..., p^m, p^m)$
 - $p_{1t}(H_t) = c$, otherwise

If a firm *collaborates*, it sets $p = p^m$ and earns $\pi^m/2$ in every period.

The *optimum deviation*: $p^m - \varepsilon$, yielding $\approx \pi^m$ for one period.

An equilibrium in trigger strategies exists if:

$$\frac{\pi^m}{2}(1+\delta+\delta^2+\dots) \ge \pi^m+0+0+\dots$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1-\delta} \ge 1 \Leftrightarrow \delta \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

The same argument applies to collusion on any price $p \in (c, p^m]$. \Rightarrow Infinitely many equilibria.

The Folk Theorem.



Collusion when demand varies

Demand stochastic.

Periodic demand is low: $D_1(p)$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ high: $D_2(p)$ with probability $\frac{1}{2}$ $D_1(p) < D_2(p), \forall p$.

The demand shocks are *i.i.d.*

Each firm sets its price after having observed demand.

What are the best collusive strategies for the two firms? Trigger strategies: A deviation is followed by p = c forever.

What are the best collusive prices? One price in lowdemand periods and one in high-demand periods: p_1 and p_2 .

 $\pi_s(p)$ – total industry profit in state *s* when both firms set *p*.

With prices p_1 and p_2 in the two states, each firm's expected net present value is:

$$\begin{split} V &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^{t} \Biggl[\frac{1}{2} \frac{D_{1}(p_{1})}{2} (p_{1}-c) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{D_{2}(p_{2})}{2} (p_{2}-c) \Biggr] \\ &= \frac{1}{4(1-\delta)} [D_{1}(p_{1})(p_{1}-c) + D_{2}(p_{2})(p_{2}-c)] \\ &= \frac{\pi_{1}(p_{1}) + \pi_{2}(p_{2})}{4(1-\delta)} \end{split}$$

Tore Nilssen - Strategic Competition - Lecture 2 - Slide 5

The best possible collusive price in state s is:

 $p_s^m = \arg \max (p-c)D_s(p), s = 1, 2.$

$$\pi_s^m = (p_s^m - c)D_s(p_s^m), s = 1, 2.$$

If the firms can collude on these prices, then:

$$V = \frac{\pi_1^m + \pi_2^m}{4(1-\delta)}$$

A deviation in state *s* receives a gain equal to: π_s^m

For (p_1^m, p_2^m) to be equilibrium prices, we must have: $\pi_s^m \le \frac{1}{2}\pi_s^m + \delta V \iff \pi_s^m \le 2\delta V$

The difficulty is state 2 (high-demand), since $\pi_1^m < \pi_2^m$.

The equilibrium condition becomes:

$$\pi_2^m \le 2\delta \frac{\pi_1^m + \pi_2^m}{4(1-\delta)}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \delta \ge \frac{2}{3 + \frac{\pi_1^m}{\pi_2^m}} \equiv \delta_0$$
$$0 < \frac{\pi_1^m}{\pi_2^m} < 1 \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} < \delta_0 < \frac{2}{3}$$

But what if $\delta \in [\frac{1}{2}, \delta_0)$? Can we still find prices at which the firms can collude?

The problem is again state 2. We need to set p_2 so that

$$\pi_{2}(p_{2}) \leq 2\delta \frac{\pi_{1}^{m} + \pi_{2}(p_{2})}{4(1-\delta)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \pi_{2}(p_{2}) = \frac{\delta}{2-3\delta} \pi_{1}^{m}$$
$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \delta < \frac{2}{3} \Rightarrow \frac{\delta}{2-3\delta} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \pi_{2} \geq \pi_{1}$$

So: prices below monopoly price in high-demand state – during boom. Could even be that $p_2 < p_1$.

But is this a price war?

More realistic demand conditions: Autocorrelation – business cycle. Collusion most difficult to sustain just as the downturn starts.

Haltiwanger & Harrington, *RAND J Econ* 1991 Kandori, *Rev Econ Stud* 1991

Bagwell & Staiger, RAND J Econ 1997

[Exercise 6.4]

Empirical studies of collusion

- the railroad cartel
 - Porter Bell J Econ 1983
 - Ellison RAND J Econ 1994
- collusion among petrol stations
 - Slade Rev Econ Stud 1992
- collusion in the soft-drink market: prices and advertising
 Gasmi, *et al.*, *J Econ & Manag Strat* 1992
- collusion in procurement auctions
 - Porter & Zona J Pol Econ 1993 (road construction)
 - Pesendorfer Rev Econ Stud 2000 (school milk)

Infrequent interaction

Suppose the period length doubles.

$$\delta \rightarrow \delta^2$$

Collusion feasible if:

$$\delta^2 \ge \frac{1}{2} \iff \delta \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.71$$

Multimarket contact

Market A:	Frequent interaction, period length 1. Collusion if $\delta \ge \frac{1}{2}$.
Market B:	Infrequent interaction, period length 2. Collusion if $\delta^2 \ge \frac{1}{2}$.

(How could frequency vary across markets?)

What if both firms operate in both markets? Can the firms obtain collusion in both markets even in cases where $\delta^2 < \frac{1}{2} < \delta$?

A deviation is most profitable when both markets are open.

Deviation yields: $2\pi^{m}$ Collusion yields: $[\pi^{m}/2]$ every period, plus $[\pi^{m}/2]$ every second period (starting today)

Collusion can be sustained if:

$$\frac{\pi^m}{2} [1 + \delta + \delta^2 + \dots] + \frac{\pi^m}{2} [1 + \delta^2 + \delta^4 + \dots] \ge 2\pi^m$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{21 - \delta} + \frac{1}{21 - \delta^2} \ge 2$$
$$\Leftrightarrow 4\delta^2 + \delta - 2 \ge 0 \iff \delta \ge \frac{\sqrt{33} - 1}{8} \approx 0.59$$

Tore Nilssen - Strategic Competition - Lecture 2 - Slide 9